

## REVIEWER FORM

**Date:**

**Article No.:**

**Article title:**

**Article type:**

Review article	<input type="checkbox"/>	Short communication	<input type="checkbox"/>
Original article	<input type="checkbox"/>	Professional article	<input type="checkbox"/>
History of medicine article	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other (describe)	<input type="checkbox"/>
		_____	<input type="checkbox"/>

---

---

**Article rating** (check **YES** or **NO**)

	YES	NO
1. Does the subject of the article correspond to the Journal?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Is the topic of the article current?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Is it a new and original contribution?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Does the title of the article clearly correspond to the content and objectives of the research?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Is the methodology adequate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Are the method of presenting the results, the content and length of the article satisfactory?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Is it necessary to shorten or remove parts of the article?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Are the quality of the language and writing style satisfactory?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Are the figures and tables clear, necessary and acceptable?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Are the conclusions based on the results of the research?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Are references appropriately listed, up-to-date and all necessary?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- |  | YES                      | NO                       |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 12. Are the key words and abstract sufficiently informative? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 13. Does a statistician need to review the article?          | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

**Rate the quality of the article:**

- high
- average
- low

**Reviewer's decision** (check only one of the four options):

1. Accept without revision
2. Accept following minor revision
3. Resubmit manuscript for review following major revision
4. The article is NOT suitable for publication

**Comment for the authors:**

**Date:**

**Reviewer's signature**